G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-1902
~~19~~ .
(Murrai)

File No. 54.

Serial Nos.

VII

Papers Filed.

Month Septr 1904

SUBJECT.

File relating to the Govt order directing appointment of Thasingiah as Pujari (in place of Kondiah (deceased) of the Sri Swami Temple at Sravandurg, Nagar Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.
In re complaint against the Pujaries of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Savendrug in the Magadi Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE:—

Letter No. 517 dated 13th December 1903 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

The temple of Sri Narasimhaswami in the Savendrug forest at the foot of the hill going by that name near Magadi is an ancient & famous Muzrai Inst.n enjoying a small cash grant of Rs 4-6-0 per annum. The temple is largely resorted to by devotees who make voluntary offerings of money, jewels &c. Dharmadarsis have been appointed to supervise the affairs of this Inst.n.

In the early part of the year 1894, the Archaks of the temple named Koudayya and his son Narasimhaiyya at the instigation of one Narasimha Dasa took the Utsava idol of the temple in procession into the

adjoining Talukes of Madura & collected donations for the alleged purpose of constructing a compound to the temple. Soon after their return, several petns were preferred to the Dy Commr by the Dharmadarais & others complaining of grave misconduct on the part of the Archaks in regard to the money collected, & praying for their summary removal from temple service. In the inquiry instituted the Archaks stated that the money collected was with Dasa & that they were not aware of the exact amount of ~~Sawcar~~ donations collected. The Muzrai Officer, Mr. Thyagaraya Aiyar upon the report of the Amildar passed an order dismissing ~~the~~ the Archak from office and directed the Amildar to appoint a proper person for the place. The dismissed Archak appealed to the Dy Commr against the aforesaid order and Mr. V. N. Narasimmangar (then Dy Commr) inspected the temple in 1896 & heard all the parties concerned & reversed the order of the Asst Commr & directed the reinstatement of the Archak

Koudayya, observing that there was
neither
no proof to show that any money was
collected or misappropriated, nor that
Koudayya, a sickly man of 70, had any
hand in the alleged irregularities, and
that there was evidence to show that
Koudayya and his ancestors were the rightful
Pujaries & that the irregularities, if any,
were due to the lack of supervision on
the part of the Dharmadarsis. He further
directed the Taluk authorities to see
that the Kanikés &c are properly accounted
for & credited to the funds of the temple
Thereupon animosity between the Archaks
& their adversaries increased & there were
a number of petns both for & against
the Archaks. On these petns the D.
Commr submitted a report whereupon
it was observed in this Office Docket
No. 273 of 27th August 1900 that if the allegations
contained in the several petns against the
Archaks - viz, gross immoral conduct - were true,
they did not certainly deserve to be retained

(217)

in temple Service. but without passing any finial orders the Dy Commr was requested to report upon the allegations.

At this stage one Era Ganga naikar memorialized H.H the Maharaja, complaining of the conduct of Koudayya & Narasimhayya - Pujaries of the temple. This Petn was transferred to this Deptt for disposal with the Private Secys No 252 Dy 22nd Jany 1902. A report having been called for, the Dy Commr in reply stated that the conduct of the Archaks was not good and that they misappropriated the temple funds. He stated that they were charged under Sec: 409 I.P.C. but that they were discharged under Sec. 253 C.P.C. for want of evidence. He further stated that although the allegation that the Archaks took the idol in procession & collected money was true, still, Mr V. N. narasimmiengar had thought that there was no case against them to entail their dismissal. Upon this, further inqy was cal in this Office Docket No 575 Dy 29th August 1903. And in the meantime

meantime, the Private Secretary to H.H the Maharaja in forwarding an other petn., requested to be informed when the case was likely to be disposed of. A reply was sent to him and the petn. was referred to the D.Commr. The records have been received from that Officer, from which it is observed that Koudayya is since dead. Narasimhaiya is reported to have undergone 6 weeks simple imprisonment & paid a fine of Rs60 in a Forest case. During the period of imprisonment, the Puja in the temple is reported to have been performed by Alasingiah minor son of Koudayya and Ajjayya brother-in-law of Koudayya (deceased). The Amildar reports that he went to Savendroog and had all the parties before him together with the Dharmadarais and that he examined all the jewels and articles belonging to the temple and ~~[illegible]~~ found that they were all correct

He gave them over for use in the temple to Kondayya's wife, her son and Narasimhayya on condition of their producing them whenever required. The Amildar further reports that the present two Dharmadarsis are both illiterate & that one of them is very old, blind & deaf & that the character of the other is not satisfactory. He requests that two other literate & competent men should be appointed Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs of the temple and to keep accounts of receipts of offerings &c made by devotees. The D. Commr reports that orders have been issued to send up the names of 2 respectable men for being appointed as such.

Submitted

The chief point now requiring orders is, whether Narasimhayya, who is reported to have suffered imprisonment, can be continued as Pujari

or whether the Banner could may
be transferred to Kandaswami's
minor son Alasingaraya, the
work being performed during
his minority, by Kandaswami's
brother-in-law Nijjaya or
any one else -

Ds
24/12/03

Neither the Dy Comr nor the
Archak ~~says~~ gives distinctly
his opinion as to whether
Narasimhaya who suffered
imprisonment should be restored
to the Office of Archak or not.
Get this information.

Ll
27/12/03

Draft subd
Ds
2/1/04

Note continued :—

In reply to the query ordered
above, the Dy Comr., states that it is
undesirable to restore Narasimhaya
to the place of Archak as his conduct
was not good & as he misappropriated
the receipts of the temple & suffered imprisonment:

As the appointment is hereditary, the Dy Comm^r suggests that Alasingiah minor son of the deceased Kondayya by his second wife may be appointed in place of Narasimhayya, Archaya who was performing Puja after the death of Kondayya being ordered to continue the Puja until Alasingiah attains his majority —

Submitted to the Dewan

2/2/04

Under the circumstances reported, the Dy Com^rs recommendation to make out the Varawara in the name of Alasingarah, the minor son of Kondayya, and to authorize ~~Arch~~ Archayya to perform the duties of Sapari during the minority of Alasingariah, may be approved.

The appointment of some competent persons ~~may be~~ as Dharmadarsis seems desirable the present men being reported to be incompetent. The names of men who will efficiently supervise the temple and who will keep accounts of the Kaniker^s may be called for.

8/2/04

Yes
8 II 04

15732
6.2.04

submitted

12-7-87

Vice Chancellor

1005

96

29-5-96

2

G-47

1664

Ref/

25.11.96

No. Pet 870 R

935 - 12-12-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition

Regis'tered No. 1617 dated 20 'November/96

received at the Dewan's Office from

Villur Naika of Naikan Palya, Magadi Taluk, praying that criminal proceedings may be instituted against Ranjude and Yejman Eramma, the Dharmakartas of the temples of Sri Narasimhaswami and Rudre Dewaru at Suvernedroog for mis appropriating certain amounts belonging to the said temples

is forwarded, together with its transferred enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of Secretary Mysore District, for disposal.

By order,

Bangalore, 8th December 1896

for Secretary.

and AG

878-80
Ends. Issued to the parties:—

ರಾಬುಕಾರಿ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ.

ಬತ್ತಾ ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ

189 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ೦.

By Order

Mysore Secretary.

Bryander

Nyk.

ಅಚ್ಚರಾ ವ್ಯಾತ್ರಿ.

ನಂ.
870 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣಕಚೇರಿ

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಕೆ ಗಳಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ನಂಜನಗೂಡು

ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಗೆ

ದ. ಕೊಂಡ್ಲಿಪುರ

ಗುಂಡಾರವನ್ನು ಪುನರುಜ್ಜೀವನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿ

ನೀವು ಹಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೂಲಕ

22 ನೇ ಮೆಮ್ಮೊ 1003 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ

ಕೊಂಡ್ಲಿಪುರ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಗುಂಡಾರವನ್ನು

ನವರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳುಹಿಸಿ ಇದೆ.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 19 ನೇ ಮೂಹೆ 1 189 7 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಚಿಂಕಳ್ಳಿ

By Order

Muzrai Secretary.

No. 938

ಸ್ವೀ -

25.
23/1/97

write to court
for urgent hearing

ಕುಂಬಾರ

ಕುಸರಿ ಕಾಲುಗಳು ಕುಂಕುಮ

ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಕಂದುಪರ್ದೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕಂಬಳಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆದು

ಪುಷ್ಪ ಪೀಠದ ಮೇಲೆ ಎಂಬ ಮೇಲುಪ್ಪಿನ ಕುಂಡಾಗಿ ಕಂ

ಉಂಡೆ ಕಾಂ ಕಲ್ಲು ಕುಂಕುಮ ಪುಷ್ಪ ಪಂಚಾಂ

ಎಲರಂಜಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಪುಷ್ಪ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪುಷ್ಪ ಪಂಚಕ ಪಂಕ

ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕ್ಷಿಪ್ರ ಕಂಡು ಕಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಎಂಬ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ ಮಂಡ

ಕಲಂಬಳು ಪಂಡಿ 20ಕ್ಕೆ ಕುಲ್ಲಂ $\frac{1617-870}{435}$ ಸಿಲಂಬಳಿ

ಆ ಪಂಡಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಎಂಬಂಡ ಪುಷ್ಪ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂಬ

ಮಂಡಿಸುವ ಕಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಲ್ಲಿಗೆ

By order

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ನಂ.

977

Empire Peth

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ

ಹುಜೂರು ——— ಯಿಂದ.

1169-ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ
ದಿನ ರಸೀತ್ತಿನ ಮೇರೆಗೆ
ಪ್ರತ್ಯುತ್ತರ ಬರೆದಿದೆ.

1194-ನೇ ಇಸವಿ
ನವೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳು

ಮೂಗಪ್ಪ. ಪಾಲ್ಘಾಟ್ ಆಮಿಲರಿಗೆ.

1169
11-1-97

ಲು ಅಂಚೆಯಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಮುಳಬಾಗಿಲು ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರರು ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಹೇಳಿರುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ 1194 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಅಂಚೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಮೊಕಾಂ.

ತಾರೀಖು. 30-1-97.

Muzrai Superintendent.

ಕಾಲಾವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಾಧನೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ವಿವರಣೆ ನೀಡಿದರು.

not be referred to the Auditor General
Zalak for Enquiry
DK
8/1/97

Payment

Commencement of
of litigation compl
against the action

Temple Karna
this item works
granted by
Manager / Sec
for certain
circle Level, in
which
Commence
on a Lowrence
Allowances
5-9
1801
23/12/96

2105
23-12-96

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಕಣ್ಣೀರಿಟ್ಟು ಕೈಮುಗಿದು ಬೇಡಿಕೊಂಡರೂ ಅವನು ಕರಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವನ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಕಲ್ಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅವನ ಹೃದಯ ಕಠಿಣವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅವನ ಕಣ್ಣುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕರುಣೆಯ ಒಂದು ಹನಿಯೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವನು ಕ್ರೂರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಪಾಪಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಅಧರ್ಮಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಅಹಂಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಮದಾಂಧನಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಮೂರ್ಖನಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಅಜ್ಞಾನಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಅವಿವೇಕಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಅಸುರನಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ರಾಕ್ಷಸನಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ದುಷ್ಟನಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಕೆಟ್ಟವನಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಹೊಲಸಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಅಸಹ್ಯನಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಹೇಸಿಗೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು ಅಸಹ್ಯವ

vide order on petn No 2105

1896

No. 2106

Name of Petitioner Sivanaika s/o Narikanaiya & Veerabhadra of Veerapuradanu

Village Dodri

Taluk Nagadi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 23-12-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

This is also a complaint like petition No. 2105 against the action of the Deputy Commr. Bangalore District in restoring to the office of Poojari of Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Lavandroy, Honnu and his Son Narasimhia, who were dismissed by the Inspn Secretary for certain grave irregularities in connection with the temple, & receives an allowance Rs. 4-5-9 a month from Govt. Pray that on getting the matter investigated the said Honnu and his Son may

He removed from office and a Brahmin
or the present Poojari may be appointed as Poojari
in their stead.

BK
8/1/97

This is like sea
another word, which
is best used in
relation to the

2106R
23-12-[illegible]

23/12

...

...

...



1223

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

2 [illegible]

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ ੧ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਉੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ ——

ਅ. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਾਕਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਖਾਲੀ ਥਾਂਵਾਂ ਭਰੋ ——

੧. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੨. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੩. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੪. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੫. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੬. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੭. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੮. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੯. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੧੦. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ ੨ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਉੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ ——

੧. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੨. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੩. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੪. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੫. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੬. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੭. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੮. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੯. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

੧੦. ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਕੁਝ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰਨੀਆਂ ਹਨ ——— ਆਓ ਕਿਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਗੱਲਾਂ ਕਰੀਏ।

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

1. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಾನಾರ್ಥಕ ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಅ) ಕಾಡು ಆ) ಹಣ್ಣು ಇ) ಹಕ್ಕಿ ಈ) ಮರ ಉ) ಹೂವು

2. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧಾರ್ಥಕ ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಅ) ಬಿಳಿ ಆ) ಕಪ್ಪು ಇ) ಹಗಲು ಈ) ಬೆಳಕು ಉ) ಸಿಹಿ

3. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

4. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

5. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

6. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

7. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

8. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

9. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

10. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

11. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯ ರಚಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಮಳೆ ಆ) ಗಾಳಿ ಇ) ಬೆಂಕಿ ಈ) ನೀರು ಉ) ಭೂಮಿ

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible]
5. [illegible]
6. [illegible]
7. [illegible]
8. [illegible]
9. [illegible]
10. [illegible]
11. [illegible]
12. [illegible]
13. [illegible]
14. [illegible]
15. [illegible]
16. [illegible]
17. [illegible]
18. [illegible]
19. [illegible]
20. [illegible]
21. [illegible]
22. [illegible]
23. [illegible]
24. [illegible]
25. [illegible]
26. [illegible]
27. [illegible]
28. [illegible]
29. [illegible]
30. [illegible]
31. [illegible]
32. [illegible]
33. [illegible]
34. [illegible]
35. [illegible]
36. [illegible]
37. [illegible]
38. [illegible]
39. [illegible]
40. [illegible]
41. [illegible]
42. [illegible]
43. [illegible]
44. [illegible]
45. [illegible]
46. [illegible]
47. [illegible]
48. [illegible]
49. [illegible]
50. [illegible]



Reg

Narasimhaswamy's temple in the Nagadi Taluk.

1 I have inspected the temple and heard all the parties concerned in person.

2 The order dismissing Kondlaya was passed by Mr. Nyagraj Aiyer, Pro: Assistant Commissioner without the sanction of the Deputy Commissioner, His orders should have been obtained in the matter.

3. The Assistant dismissed the Pujari Kondlaya, because his son Narasimhay had at the instigation of a 3rd party c. the Utsava Vigrahams about the c and it is said also levied Contributions According to the Amildar's report Dr. 21 D/ 30/9/9 there is no proof that any money Collected or misappropriated, Besides Kondlaya who is a sickly man of 6 had no hand in the alleged irregul

4. The present officiating archak Narasimhaya, who is Kondlaya's

Sister's

Reddy's son. He says he has a right
to the Pujari-ship; but it is admitted
that Kondlaya's father and grandfather
were the rightful Pujaris for the last
50 years and upwards. An old ryot
named Chelue Gouda, aged 80 years, swears
that Kondlaya's ancestors were the rightful
and officiating pujaris.

5 The irregularities, if any were due
to the laxity of supervision. The Dharmadarsi
should have taken care of the temple
affairs and property and should have
brought to the offenders to book at once.

6 The order dismissing Kondlaya is
cancelled, he will be restored to the
puja rights.

7 The Taluk authorities should
take care that the Kanikés of
the temple are properly accounted
for and due arrangements
are made for their receipt and
remittance

remi

18. Dec. 1896. (Sd) V. R Narasimma Iyengar
Nagadi Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

In Kannada

for Deputy Commissioner

Copy applied for 5-1-97
Copy ready for delivery 9-1-97
Copy delivered 15-1-97
No. of words. 322.
Copying fee 0-6-0.
Comparing fee 0-1-4
Paper Cost 0-0-2
0-7-6.

Rcd. payment
M. Y. Narasimha

27.5.77

1878

A-2

14°35 6

1897-98.

From The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Dated 10th } August 1897.
Despatched 14th }

Received

General No.

No. 213

Enclosure No. 1 file

Forwards the entire records connected with the case of Narasimiah Archak of the temple of Savandurg Magadi Talnk.

To The Head Munshi + Asst.
Rec'd 11/9/97

Rec'd 15/9/97

dem 273 d/27/8/97

D. No. 213
Su-file

Bangalore District-
D. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th August 1897
14th

To,

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the entire records connected with the case of Narasimmiah, archak of the temple of Savandrug, Magadi Taluk, as desired in your Rubkari No. 878-880 Su: 8 dated 18th January 1897.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
[illegible]
D. Commissioner

ಹಿಂ ಸಾಂ ಕರಂ ಸಂ ಕ್ರಾ ಸ್ಥ ವಮು ಚರಾಂಯಂ

ಸ್ಥಾ ಪ ಕಾಂ ಚೌಂ ಠಿಂ ಲು ಕ ವ ಕರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಕು

ಪೂರ್ವಜರಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸುವ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಿದರೆ, ಅದು ಅಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮಗನು ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮರಳಿ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಬಹುದು.

ಹಿಂದೂ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ವಜರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಮಗನ ಹಕ್ಕು ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಅವನ ತಂದೆಯಿಂದ ಕಿತ್ತುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಅವನ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಕಾನೂನಿನಿಂದ ರಕ್ಷಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವನ ತಂದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ತಂದೆಯ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಗಳನ್ನು ಮಗನು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡುವ ಮೂಲಕ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಿಸಬಹುದು.

ಹಿಂದೂ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ವಜರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಮಗನ ಹಕ್ಕು ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಅವನ ತಂದೆಯಿಂದ ಕಿತ್ತುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಅವನ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಕಾನೂನಿನಿಂದ ರಕ್ಷಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವನ ತಂದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ತಂದೆಯ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಗಳನ್ನು ಮಗನು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡುವ ಮೂಲಕ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಿಸಬಹುದು.

ಹಿಂದೂ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ವಜರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಮಗನ ಹಕ್ಕು ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಅವನ ತಂದೆಯಿಂದ ಕಿತ್ತುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಅವನ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಕಾನೂನಿನಿಂದ ರಕ್ಷಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವನ ತಂದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಥವಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ತಂದೆಯ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಗಳನ್ನು ಮಗನು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡುವ ಮೂಲಕ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಿಸಬಹುದು.

Yours sincerely,

Encl: As above

Copy to:

and to report the

for the
orders of Govt

The records are returned.

By order

Asst
Muzr. Secy

MUZRAI

1897

From

To
The Dy Commr
Bangalore District

Dated 27 August 1900
Despatched
Received
General No. 273
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

His letter No 213 dated 10th August 1897 submitting the records relating to the petition of the residents of Savendroog, Magadi Taluk, against the appointment of Kondaiya and his son Narasimhaiya as Archaks of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Savendroog.

Has the honor to observe that in the several petitions preferred to the Dy Commr and filed in the records, the residents of Savendroog have complained of grave

is conduct ~~and connived~~
~~at~~ on the part of
the Archaks Koudaiya and
Narasimhaiya; and in
their petitions filed in
this Office (which are
herewith forwarded)
the devotees of the temple
similarly ~~charge~~ the
Archaks ~~are addicted to~~
Conduct
grossly immoral ~~habits~~
and pray for their summary
removal from the temple
service. If the allegations
contained in these petitions
be true, the Archaks
Koudaiya and Narasimhaiya
do not certainly deserve
to be retained in the temple
service. But however
before passing final
orders on the subject,
the Dy Commr is requested
to inquire into ~~the truth~~
~~of the~~ the allegations
contained in the petitions in question

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 22nd September 1902

No. 252

Received



Two Petitions as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, are transferred, for disposal to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, in the Muzrai department, Bangalore.

Nos.
279 & 15-9-02.
280 & 15.9.02.
2

By Order,

I. C. S.

Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

54-01

2990

609.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 279-

The accompanying Petition No. 252-
199-13

dated 15th Sept~~r~~ ~~received at the~~ 1902

~~Dewan's Office from~~ addressed to

H. H. the Maharaja from

Eru Gangamma and

another in the Mysore

Taluk complaining of the

conduct of Kondappa &

Narasimhappa,

Pujaris of the Sri

Narasimhaswami

Temple at Suttur

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Dy Commr

Bangalore District

in continuation of this

Office Docket no 273 dated

27th August 1900.

The Dy Commr is requested

to expedite the submission

of the report herein called

for without further delay

By Order,

Dpt-

BANGALORE, 12th Sept~~r~~ 1902.

Muzrai Secretary

Asst Upadhyaya

No. 735 Mur 1 Dy 21/10/02 & 736.
Mur: 54 of
Urgent Reminder

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 667
dated 19. 10. 1902 received at the
Dewan's Office from Eraganga
-naika Hand another in
the Magadi Taluk Complain
-ing of the conduct of
Kondayya & Narasimiah
Pujaries of the Sri Narasim
swamie temple at Savandurg
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures,~~ to the Dy Coll
Bangalore District,
in Continuation of this Off
Cir. No. 608 Dy 29. 9. 1902
The Dy Coll is
requested to expedite the
submission of the Rep
therein called for without
further delay

By Order,

BANGALORE,
18 } Dy Secretary
Mur: Deptt

Ent- Updates

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Dy: Commissioner Bangalore Dt.

Dated 21 November 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 1084
Muzrai F. No. 54-51.

Enclosure No.

This office No. 608 of 29th sept 1902 ~~& reminder~~ ~~as per margin~~ forwarding for report petition addressed to H. H the Maharajah Era Ganganaika &c complaining of the conduct of the Pujaries of the Sri Nara-simhaswami Temple at Savendrug & Subsequent remind as per margin

Has the honor to invite attention to the above and to request the submission of the report before the end of is Month.

By order

Dy: Secy
Mur Dept

No. 735-dt 20/10/02

Jas

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

27/12/02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 20-12-02
REG. No 1073
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಹಿತಾಸಕ್ತಿ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಸಮಾಜದ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆ ಇರಬೇಕು. ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವುದೇ ಬಾಹ್ಯ ಒತ್ತಡಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಣಿಯದೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುವುದು. ಆದರೆ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆಯ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯಿಂದ ನುಣುಚಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು. ಅವು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರದಾಯಿಯಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಈ ಸಮತೋಲನವನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದ ಮುಂದಿರುವ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಸವಾಲು.

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆಯ ಅಗತ್ಯವನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಉದಾಹರಣೆಯಿಂದ ವಿವರಿಸಬಹುದು. ಒಂದು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯವು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪ್ರಭಾವಕ್ಕೆ ಒಳಗಾಗಿ ಪಠ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿದರೆ, ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಇಚ್ಛೆಯಂತೆ ನಡೆಸಿದರೆ, ಅಥವಾ ಸಂಶೋಧನೆಯ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರವೇ ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದರೆ ಅದು ತನ್ನ ಮೂಲ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನೇ ಕಳೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂತಹ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯವು ಕೇವಲ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಂದು ಇಲಾಖೆಯಾಗಿ ಉಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆ ಅತ್ಯಂತ ಅವಶ್ಯಕ.

ಆದರೆ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆಯ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬಾರದು. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಹಣದಿಂದ ನಡೆಯುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಪಾರದರ್ಶಕವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಅವುಗಳ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಾಜದ ವಿವಿಧ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳಿರಬೇಕು. ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರ ಲೆಕ್ಕಪರಿಶೋಧಕರಿಂದ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಈ ಕ್ರಮಗಳಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆಯನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಂಡೇ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯುತವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದೆ.

ಕೊನೆಯದಾಗಿ, ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆಯು ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅತ್ಯಗತ್ಯ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿದ್ದಾಗ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅವು ಸಮಾಜಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶನ ನೀಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಗಳು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತತೆಯನ್ನು ಗೌರವಿಸಬೇಕು, ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಅರಿತು ನಡೆಯಬೇಕು.

MUZRAI

1903—19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy: Commissioner
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 18th February 1903.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1677

Muzrai F. No. 54-01

Enclosure No. —

This Office No. 608 dy 29th sept 1902 & subsequent reminders as per margin, forwarding for report — petition addressed to H. H the Maharajah by Esra Ganganaika & ca — complaining of the — conduct of the Pujaries of the Sri Narasimha — Swami Temple at Savendrug

Has the honor to invite attention to the above and

to

No. 735 dy 21-10-02
1084 dy 21-11-02

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

request the submission
of the report without
further delay.

By order

Deputy Secretary
Military Department

14/2/03



1902/03

From The Deputy Sirsi
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Mutrai Dept

Dated 9th March 1903

Despatched

Received

General No
D No. 974

Enclosure No. One file of 6 pp & 5 Mps sent separately.

Dy Secy's docket No 273 of 27th
August 1902 & official Memo No
608 of 29th Sept 1902
Mutrai 754-01

Submits report on the petition
of Ekka gang a Naik complaining
of the conduct of the archaks of
the Sri Narasimha Swami temple
in the Sawandurg village Magadi
Taluk.

Refer
Ans
12/3/03

MGCPB—50 1ca ns—6-12-02

DW No 974

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 9th March 03

En: as Mysore Palace
& 5 files sent separately.

From,

H. H. Sharkes Esq

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

L Nwantasami Rao Esq
Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Mysore Dewan

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 273 dated 29th August 1902, and Official memorandum No 608 Dated 29th September 1902, and subsequent reminders, as per margin*, forwarding for report a petition* from Eraganga Naika, Complaining of the Conduct of Koudayya and Ramaiah, Archak of Sri Narasimha Swami temple in the village of Savandurg of the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to forward, herewith, report No 913 dated

The

* Govt No 1084 of 21st March 1902
Govt No 4135 mm754-01 of 21st October 1902
Govt No 1177 754-01 of 5th February 1903

* Petitioner returned.

(58) the 13th February 1903, from the Assistant Commissioner, Ulsoor, from which it will be seen that the archaks' conduct is not good and that they misappropriate the receipts of the Temple and make a bad use of the articles, &c, offered by devotees. They have even been charged under 409 of Indian Penal Code, but on the evidence adduced before the Criminal Court, they could not be sentenced but were discharged under Section 253 of Criminal Procedure Code.

The allegations that the archaks took Utsava idol to all the villages and collected money is borne out by the records hereinwith submitted for reference, but the then Dy Commissioner, Mr V.N. Narasimmayya thought

thought that there was no case against them to entail their Dismissal. (Vide Persist No 74 in the enclosed file).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq, B A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated ~~27~~

Despatched 29/4 August 1903

Received

General No. 575.
Muzrai F No. 54—1901

Enclosure No. 6 files sent separately

His letter no 974 dated 9th march 1903, reporting on the petition of one Era Ganga naika, complaining of the conduct of V and narasimhappa, trustees of the Sri narasimhaswami Temple at Lavandwag in the Hungodi Taluk.

From the Dy Commr's letter it is observed that-

55)

that the Archaks' conduct
is not good and that they
misappropriate the receipts
of the Temple. It is also
reported that they were criminally
prosecuted but that they
could not be sentenced
for want of full evidence

2. From a ~~record~~ petition recently
received in this office on the
18th of this month, it is
learnt that Kondiah, one
of the Archaks, died on the
3rd instant and that the
other, Viz, Narasimhappa,
has been sentenced in a Forest-
case, by the Sub-Magistrate
of Chenpet, to 6 months'
imprisonment and 60 Rs
fine. The petn is herewith forwarded in original
attached to above

3. The Dy Comr is requested
to

to report if the allegations
contained in this petition
are true, and if so, what
arrangements have been
made for the puja services
in the temple and
all the articles belonging
to the temple that were in
the possession of the Archaks
Kandappa and
since
have been recovered ~~from~~
and safely deposited.

An early reply is

The enclosures are
herewith returned for
reference and resubmission

Dbl

25/8/03
Dy Secretary

24/8/03

136/315

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mysore, 4th September 1903

Re 710/-

Refer to 7/9/03

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore in the Mazrai Department, Bangalore, in continuation of this office no 252 dated 22nd September 1902, with a request to be informed when the case is likely to be disposed of

No. 332 D/2.9.03.

By Order,

I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Dy: Commr:
Bangalore

Dated 17th September

Despatched

Received

General No. 714 & 715
Muzrai F. No. 54-1901

Enclosure No. 1.

Has the honor to
forward herewith
another Petition ~~received~~
~~idd~~ dtd 332 d/2/9/03
addressed to H. H. the
Maharaja by certain
residents of Savendrug,
Nagadi Taluk, re
the affairs of the
Sri Narasimha Swami
temple in that place.

2. The Dy: Commr's
attention is invited

In ~~the Comm~~ h this
Office Docket No 575
D/29th August 1903-
calling for ~~certain~~ further
report on the same
Subject-

By order
14/9/03
Dft
Dy: Secy
Murray Deptt

No. 715 D/17 9.03.

Endorsement for Peters

Ds
14/9/03

No. 767

Mun.F.No. 54-01

Draft reply to the Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja dated to the Revenue Office

outw. 14/9/03

3032
8.9.03.

Yes
Need
19.IX.03

19/9/03

Draft reply to the Private Secretary to H.H.

With reference to the Office memo of the Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja — reminder forwarding Petition no 332 dy 2-9-03 addressed to H.H. by certain residents of Savendrug, Magadi Taluk re the mismanagement of the affairs of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at the place and enquiring when the case is likely to be disposed of, I beg to state that further report has been called for from the Deputy Comm^r, Bangalore Dt. (Vide this Office no 575 dy 29th August 1903) and his reply is awaited —

The Petition now received ~~received~~ ~~will refer under a due advance~~

has also been forwarded
to the Dy: Commr. ~~to the~~
~~Dy: Commr~~ with a
request to expedite the
submission of the report
called for-

By Order

Dy: Secy
Mun: Deptt

14/9/03

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore Distt.

Dated

Despatched 4. 11. 03.

Received

General No. 1006
Muzrai F. No. 54—1907

Enclosure No. one.

In continuation of this Office Docket No 575 Dy 29th August 1903 and a subsequent reminder marginally noted, has the honor to forward herewith another Petition No 692/594 Dy 17. 9. 1903 from Ranganna and others of Jammalé' magadi Taluk re the affairs of the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Savendrug magadi Taluk a[illegible]

No 714 Dy 17. 9. 1903
Muzri: F 54-01

MCCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

request that the report
therein called for may
be submitted at an
early date.

P. C.
[illegible]
Dy. Secretary
Imm. Dept.

25/10/13

1903

No. 692

Name of Petitioner Kaigaumalu son of Kandathur Ippalwad others

Village Timmalay

Taluk Magadi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 17/9/03

Date of Receipt 14-9-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

5-94
7-10-03

Transferred to the Inspection department

ABSTRACT

With reference to Dy Comrs order No 26 d/28-8-03 intimating that Govt order should be awarded regarding the properties of Varadaraja Swamy temple. This appropriated by Boregowda Kondia and his son Narasimha State that on complaint preferred the above to H. H. the Maharanees Regent and to H. H. the Maharaja Orders Nos 472 & 279 have been favoured stating that the matter has been referred to Inspector Secretary for disposal. That orders Nos 736 & 609 have been received from Inspector Secretary informing that Dy Comrs has been asked to report on the

atter, but nothing is yet done;
ent referred to the records
e from 1898 to Sept/03 will disclose
the facts; that after the death
f Kondea on 3-8-03, his Son
Vaira Seeniah who was
mprisoned and is prohibited
ter the temple has misappropriated
e temple properties with the aid
e Kempa Cheena; that the
bove has been complained
f the Amildar and Dr Comrie
who has been pleased
granted Order No 26 quoted above
Query that all the records
n connection with the case
s well as copies submitted to
e Sabha and by H. H. the Maharaja
may be perused, that an imported
Officer as Mudpar in Secretary
o. be deputed to hold enquiry
nto the matter in Savandroog
Examining the records the
mentioned by the petitioners.

(45)

21/9/03

III Remainder.

MUZRAI

1901

From Dy
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To
The Dy: Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Dated 30th Nov 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 1528 & 1529

Muzrai F. No. 574-1901

Enclosure No. 1

This Office Docket No 575 Dy 29.8.1903 calling for an early report re the affairs of the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Savendurg Magadi Taluk & the remainder noted in the margin —

714 Dy 17.9.1903.
1006 Dy 4.11.1903.

In contn: of the above, has the honor to forward herewith Petn No 696 Dy 10.11.03 from Ranganna and others of Jonnigale and to request that the subn: of the report thereon called for may be expedited.

R.O.

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

28/11/03 Encl Returned

Dy: Secy
Muz:

Muz 1]

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPT.

Registered No.— 696

Name of Petitioner— ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೌಡರು
ಸಂಗಡಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ಸಿರಾಂಪಿಯರು

Address— ತಿಮ್ಮಲಾಪುರಕಾವಲು
ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೌಡರು

Date of Petition— 10—11—03

Date of Receipt— 19—11—03

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

ತಂಬಾಕು ಸಂಪಾದನೆ

ಪಾಣಿಪತ್ತರ ಹೈಗಳಿಂತಾಯಿ
ಅಧಿಕವಾಗಿ ತಂಬಾಕು
ಬೆಳೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಬರು
ತಾದಿತ್ತಾದ್ದು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಿದಾಗ
ಕಾರಣ ಪಡೆಲಿಲ್ಲ ಗಡಿಯಿಲ್ಲ
ರವಿಕೆಗಳು ಅಳಿಸಿದ್ದು
ಸಂತಾಪಿಸುವೆ ರು

19—11—03

MGCPB—500—28-8-01

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1040
MUZRAI [illegible] RIAT

Wrapper

1903/04

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Mysuru Dept

Dated 13th Dec 1903.
Despatched 14-12-03
Received

General No.
S. No 517
Enclosure No. 4 files

Dy Secy's docket No 575
54-1901
August 1903.

Submits further report on the complaint about the Conduct of Kowlagya of Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Savandurg [illegible]

Refer
to
14/12/03

Submitted with an office note for orders

Encl 5

Bangalore District,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Camp Colar,
Dated 13th December 1903

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To.

L. Ananthasami Rao, Esquire,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Judicial department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 575/54-1901 of 27th August 1903, calling for certain information about Kondayya and Narasimmiah archaks of Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Savandrug in the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to state that Kondayya has since died and Narasimmiah admits of his having undergone six week's simple imprisonment and paid a fine of Rs 60/- in a Forest Case. The Priest of the temple was during that

that period performed by Alasingiah, minor son of Kondayya by his second wife and Ajjayya brother-in-law of Kondayya. The Amildar reports that all the jewels and articles were examined by him in the presence of Dharmadarsees and Panchayets and found them be correct and that they were temporarily given to the deceased Kondayya's second wife, her son and Narasimmiah for the present on the condition that they should produce them when called upon to do so.

2. The Amildar reports the two Dharmadarsees for the distribution are both illiterate; one of them is further and deaf and the character of other is unsatisfactory. these circumstances, it is necessary that two other Competent men should be appointed Dharmadarsees and orders have been issued to send up the names.

of two Competent men for the appointments.

3. The 7 vernacular files received with the Heloseyet Assistant Commissioner's yadi No. 549 of 9th November 1903 are resubmitted with the petitions received with the above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Muz 1]

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPT.

Registered No.—

Name of Petitioner— ತಂ ದಾ ಸಾ ರಾಯ

Address— ಬಾ ಕ ಡಿ ಶ್ಯ ಪಿ ಮಾ ಪೆ.

Date of Petition— 21-12-03

Date of Receipt— 22-12-03

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

Ref. -

Ref. -

1904

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

Dated 6 2nd Jany 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 1888

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

His letter no 577 dy 1
December 1903 reporting
that Narasimhaiya, Ar
of the Sri Narasimh
temple at Savendrug -
Six weeks' simple imprisonment
and paid a fine in a Forest
case & that during the period
the Puje in the temple was
performed by Nagesiah
minor son of the deceased
Koudayya and further stating
that the jewels of the temple

were examined by the Amildar and given for use to the deceased Kondayya's second wife, her son and Narasimhaiya, and that orders have been issued to nominate ? persons as Dharmadarsis of the temple.

Neither the D. Commr in his letter quoted above, nor the Amildar in his Kan report gives distinctly his opinion as to whether Narasimhaiyya who suffered imprisonment should be restored to the Office of Archak or not. It has the honour to request ~~The D. Commr is requested to furnish~~ info. on this point.

2. The records are retd for ref. & re-subn.

By order

Dy Secy 1/1/04

Dy

Ks
2/1/04

Revs Deptt

Muz 1]

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPT.

1356

Registered No.—

Name of Petitioner— ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೌಡರು

Address— ಕಸಬಾ ತಿರುಮಲೆ
ಅಂಚೆಯವರು ಶಾಂತಿಗ್ರಾಮ
ಕಸಬಾ—

Date of Petition— 6-1-04

Date of Receipt— 9-1-04

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

ಸಾಗುವಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸರಕಾರಿ ಬಂಜರು
ಪೈಸಲಿಯವರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ
ತಕರಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಾಸರಿ ಬಂಜರು
ಎಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು
ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದು
ಮತ್ತು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು
ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಪೈಸಲಿಯವರು
ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದು - ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು
ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

18-8-01

9/1/04

Ref

(31)

No. 2048 & 2049 Sur
18/1/04

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1161 L

The accompanying Petitions Nos 1356
21-12-03 L to
dated 6 Jan: 1904 received at the
respectively
Dewan's Office from Rangamma and
others of Tirumale,
Magadi Taluk re the
affairs of the Sri Narasimha
Swami temple at Savendrag,
Magadi Taluk.

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Dy: Comr
Bangalore District,
in Contn: of this Office
docket no 1888 Dt 6.1.04

By Order,

Dft
16/1/04
Deputy Secretary
Muzrai Dept.

BANGALORE,
190

18/1/04
End to the Petnr:

MGCBP—500—19-6-02

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1487
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903/04

From

The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To

The Dy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Dated 20th
Despatched 21st January 04

Received

General No.

S No. 628
Enclosure No. 7 files

Refer
Ds
21/1/04

Dy Secy's docket No. 1888/54-1901 of 3rd January 04.

State that it is undesirable to restore Narasimiah to the office of archak and suggests that the son Narasimiah son of the deceased Kondayya be appointed as archak; appointment being hereditary

Office note and submitted proceedings

Nr. 628
Sw. 7 file

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 20th January 1901.

From,

H St Sharke, Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To,

L. Ananta Rama Rao Esq Bl
Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Dept

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No 1888. Mys 574-1901 dated 6th January 1901, enquiring whether Narasimiah who suffered imprisonment should be restored to the office of Archak or not, I have the honor to state that it is undesirable to restore Narasimiah to the place

of archak as the Assistant in
in charge of Nosket reported in his
Yadi No 913 dated 13th February 1903, that
the Conduct of Narasimmiah was not good
and he misappropriated the receipts of the
temple and he suffered imprisonment also.
As the appointment is hereditary, I beg to
suggest that Alasingriah, minor son of the
deceased Kondayya by his second wife ma
be appointed in place of Narasimmiah
and Achayya brother in law of the -
deceased Kondayya who was ever
since the death of Kondayya, performing
puja with the help of the minor Alasingriah
may be ordered to continue the puja, until
Alasingriah attains his majority, as
reported

(27)

Reported by the Amildar in his report to
his dated 28th October 03.

The 7 Vernacular files received with the
above are resubmitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Narasingha Rao

for Deputy Collector

(26)

1903/104

GOVERNMENT

1706

From The Dy Commr Shimoga

Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore Dept

Dated 8th Feby 04.

Despatched 9th Feby 04.

Received

General No.

S. No. 685

Enclosure No. 1

9/2/04

Dy Secy's official memo No 2048 of 16th January 1904.

State. That report re the affairs of the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Savandurg Mahal by Dwa. also a Dy Sub

b2 in Contn:

ide Govt. Dno no 2572

dated 20. February '04

ks

S/N 6185
Sec 2

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 9th February
1911.

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To,

L. Ananda Sam Rao Esq B.A.
Dy Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore
Museum Dept

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memo No 2048 dated 16th January 1911, Calling for a report regarding the affairs of Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Savandurg, Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to invite your attention to this office letter No 628 dated 20th January 1911.

received with the above are re submitted

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

[signature]

Mysore.

Read:- The marginally noted petitions from certain residents of Savendrug & Magadi, complaining against the Conduct of Koudayya and Narasimhaiah, Pujaris of the Sri Narasimhaswami Temple at Savendrug.

And Read:- Correspondence ending with letter No. 628 dy 20th Jany. 1904 from the Dy Commr., Bangalore District reporting on the above.

Order thereon:-

From the above, it is observed that Koudayya is since dead. Narasimhaiya

No 2512 dy 20.2.04.
Mysore F. 54 1907

2513 dy 20.2.04.

:No 2106 d: 23.12.96 from Veerabhadriah
" 2105 d: 23.12.96 to Ramanuja etc
" 279-252 d: 15.9.1902 to H.H. the Maharaja by Esara Gangappaika.
" 332 d. 2.9.03 addressed to Dc by certain residents of Magadi
" 692 d: 17.9.03 from Ramanuja

is reported to have undergone ~~6 weeks' simple~~ imprisonment and paid a fine of Rs 60/- in a ~~Forest~~ criminal case. The Dy/ Commr reports that it is undesirable to restore Narasimhaiyya to the place of Archak as his conduct was not good & as he misappropriated the receipts of the temple. He therefore proposes that Alasingiah, minor son of the deceased Kondayya by his second wife, may be appointed in place of Narasimhaiya, Achayya who was performing Puja after the death of Kondayya being allowed to continue the Puja until Alasingiah attains his majority. The Dy Commrs proposal is approved.

2. The present Dharma-
-darsis of the temple are
stated to be incompetent
being illiterate. The names
of two respectable persons
who will efficiently supervise
the temple and who will
keep accounts of Kainkar
ye, should be submitted
for appointment as
Dharmadarsis.

Ent?

Yes VA
12/2/04

Dewan's order

VA 12/2/04
Dy Secretary
Mucs: Deptt

Ks
12/2/04
To the Dy Comm:
Bangalore Dt:
ret: the entire
records

Endt: to Era Ganga Naik
R.O.

Ks

DSen

2573. ది 20.2.09

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

19/2/04

By Secretary to Govt

Municipal Department

ks

17/2/04

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 20th February 1904.

READ—

The marginally noted petitions from certain residents of Savendrug and Magadi, complaining against the conduct of Kondayya and Narasimhayya, Pujaris of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Savendrug, Magadi Taluk.

No. 2106,	dated 23rd December 1898, from Veerabhadriah.	
,, 2105	ditto	Ramanna and others.
,, 279-252	,, 15th September 1902, addressed to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.	
,, 332	,, 2nd September 1903	ditto by certain residents of Magadi.
,, 692	,, 17th September 1903, from Ranganna	

AND READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 623, dated 29th January 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting on the above.

No. 2512—MUZ. F. 54-1901, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH FEBRUARY 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—From the above, it is observed that Kondayya is since dead. Narasimhayya is reported to have undergone imprisonment and paid a fine of Rs. 60 in a criminal case. The Deputy Commissioner reports that it is undesirable to restore Nasasimhaiyya to the place of Archak as his conduct was not good and as he misappropriated the receipts of the temple. He therefore proposes that Alasingrayya, minor son of the deceased Kondayya by his second wife, may be appointed in place of Narasimhayya, Achayya who was performing puja after the death of Kondayya being allowed to continue the Puja until Alasingrayya attains his majority. The Deputy Commissioner's proposal is approved.

2. The present Dharmadarsis of the temple are stated to be incompetent, being illiterate. The names of two respectable persons who will efficiently supervise the temple and who will keep accounts of Kanikes etc., should be submitted for appointment as Dharmadarsis.

Deputy Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, returning the entire records.

Exd.—C. V.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 20th February 1904

No. 2512
Muz. F. 54-1901

Sanctioning the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to appoint Alasingrayya, son of Kondayya, as Pujari of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Savendrug, Magadi Taluk.

SBP-9-3-04—200

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಂ 248

ದಿನಾಂಕ 9/4/04

ಸ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಅಂಚೆ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ

No. 3285 dt 19-4-04

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 3285 dt 19/4/04.
Encl 1.

The accompanying Petition No. 2482,

dated 7.4.04 received at the Dewan's Office from Rangamma & others of Jonnale, Nagar Taluk re the archakship of the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Savendra Nagar Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy Commissr Bangalore draft in Contn of Govt Pro: no 2572 dy Muz: 54-01 20th Feb: 1902 with a request to report if the orders have been duly given effect to.

By Order,

15.4.04

Deputy Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
19 .

14/4/04

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 3801 Enl
M. 7. 54-01.

The accompanying Petition No. 2957, dated 21st May 1904 received at the Dewan's Office from Tumma Lr Rangpa and others, complaining that Puja in the temple of the Sri Narasimhaswamia t Savendrug Magadi Taluk is not being conducted properly.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commr Bangalore District for disposal in continuation of Govt Bo No. 2572 dy 20th February 1904 Muzt 54-01

2. The Dy Commr's attention is invited to the concluding para of above ref.d to, and he is requested to expedite the submission of the list of persons eligible appointment as Dharmadarsees the hrs fr.

By Order,

C/K, 31/

BANGALORE, 1st June May 1904.

C/K Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Department.

Ks
30/5/04

Govt to Dist Commr

Muz: F. 54-01

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 3864 En2.

The accompanying Petition No. 3057, dated 30th May 1904 received at the Dewan's Office from Lakshmamma, wife of Kondayya, late Pujari in the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at Savendrug, Magadi Taluk, praying that her grandson Narasayya may be appointed co-Pujari with a Cons. Alasingarayya, in the said temple is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Deputy Comm^r, Bangalore Dt. for disposal, in continuation with Gov^t Pro. No 2512 dated 20th February 1904. Muz F 54-1901.

By Order,

Illegible 11.6.04

BANGALORE, 14th June 1904.

~~A/S Deputy~~ Secretary, Muzrai Department.

No. 3865

Endt to Petr

Enquiries as to

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. S- 10493-4050 Ex 1
M.F. No 54-04 3217

The accompanying Petition No. 3217
dated 15th March 1904 received at the
~~Dewan's~~ Office from Ex Kolencheri Venkatachalam
alleging himself to be one of
the Archakars or pujaris of the Sri
Narasimhaswami temple at
Sarandag Nagar Taluk,
and praying that the pujariship
may be conferred on him
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District in
continuation of Govt
Pro: No. 2512 dated 20th
Muzr F. 54-04
February 1904.

By Order,

Deputy Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
30-6-1904

25/6/04

Encl to letter

Secretary to Govt.

Home Department

MUZRAI

1904—1905

FROM The Secretary to the Govt of Mysy
Revk: Deptt

To The Deputy Commissr
Bangalore Distr

Dated

Despatched 29th July 1904.

Received

General No. 496 & 496(A)
Muzrai F. No

N°106 d: 3.7.04
" 269 d. 16.7.04

Has the honor to forward herewith in contn of Govt ltr. N° 2512 d: 20.2.04 the marginally noted petitions from Rangamma and others of Srirangale, Magadi Taluk, complaining of the appointment of Koudias second son as pujari of the Sri ~~Bappaswami~~ Narasimhaswami temple at ~~Lakkundag~~ Savendrug and praying that the money misappropriated by Koudias temple may be ordered to be made good

ks 27/7/04

MGCPB—2,000—9-6-02

Bagepalli 28/7/04

Cumb Revenue
11/8/14

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
623.
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಶರಣಪ್ಪಗೌಡರಿಗೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಾಗಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷವಾಯಿತು. ಈ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಳು ಆಗಿರುವುದಲ್ಲದೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಅನೇಕ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಳು ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಿಂದ ಆಗಿರುವ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದವುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನೂ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಲಹೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲು ಕಾನೂನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲು ಹೊಸ ಕಾನೂನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಲಹೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಸಹಕಾರವ

ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಒಪ್ಪಂದವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಈ ಒಪ್ಪಂದವು ಇಂದಿನಿಂದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದ ಅವಧಿಗೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೨. ಈ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪಕ್ಷವು ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಲಿಖಿತ ನೋಟೀಸು ನೀಡಿ ಒಪ್ಪಂದವನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಬಹುದು.

೩. ಒಪ್ಪಂದವನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿದಾಗ ಅಥವಾ ಅವಧಿ ಮುಗಿದಾಗ ಎಲ್ಲ ಬಾಕಿಗಳನ್ನು ಚುಕ್ತಾ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

೪. ಈ ಒಪ್ಪಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಯಾವುದೇ ವಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

೫. ಈ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಷರತ್ತನ್ನು ಮಾರ್ಪಡಿಸಲು ಎರಡೂ ಪಕ್ಷಗಳ ಲಿಖಿತ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಅಗತ್ಯ.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು:
೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಗೌಡ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
೨. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ದೇವಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಪಕ್ಷಕಾರರ ಸಹಿ

(5)

1904 05

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore Dt

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Muzrai Dept

Dated 13th
Despatched 15th } August 04.
Received

General No.

No. M.S. No. 7 Enclosure No.

Mys Secy's No 3285 of 19th April 04.

Submits report as regards the archakship of the Sri Narasimhaswami Temple of Savandrug in the Magady Taluk.

M. S. 7. 12

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Dated the 13th August 04.

From

D. Devaraj Urs Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

L. Anantasamy Rao Esqr B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No 3285 dated 19th April 1904, forwarding the petition of Rangamma and others of Sirumale, Magady Taluk, and enquiring if the orders contained in Government Proceedings No 2512. Muz 7 54-01 dated 20th February 04, have been duly given effect to, —

I have the honor to state that the Amildars reports that Narasingriah, minor son of Kondayya has been registered as archak of Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Savandrug in the Magady Taluk and Achayya was allowed to continue the puja until the minor attains his majority, as approved in the Government order quoted above.

2. The enclosures received

received with the above is resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
C. C. Thurdoh
for Deputy Commissioner
Mac

2 Ofl

(2)

Mysore.

Read:—

Letter No. 7 dy 13th August

1904 from the Dy Commr.,

Bangalore Dt.

with refce to Govt. Pro. No.

2512 dy 20th Feb: 1904,

Mys 7 54-1901

that Alasingiah, minor

son of Koudayya has been

registered as

~~appointed~~ Archak of the

Sri Narasimhaswami Temple

at Savendrug in the Magadi

Taluk and that Achayya

has been allowed to continue

to do Puja during the former's

minority.

Mys
No. VIII
7.6.

Read
Rs
4/9/04

Issued
1/9/04

1011 dy 9/9/04 (2nd)

Order thereon:— Recorded

(1)

2. ~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible]~~

Leg

26/8/54 Revs Dept

The Secretary

Bangalore D:

Sub: Appeals in terms of the above:

RO

Leg

132 [illegible]